

Understanding the Logical Development of Ideas in Texts

Tamar Tvaladze, Associate Professor

David Aghmashenebeli University of Georgia (Ltd)

Faculty of Journalism and Humanities

01011, Tbilisi, Georgia

e-mail: tamartvaladze@sdsu.edu.ge

ORCID: 0009-0009-2444-7142

თამარ თვალაძე, ასოცირებული პროფესორი

საქართველოს დავით აღმაშენებლის სახელობის უნივერსიტეტი

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52340/spectri.2025.12.02.12>

სტატია განიხილავს ტექსტებში იდეათა ლოგიკური განვითარების მექანიზმებს. სტატია გვთავაზობს ანალიტიკურ ჩარჩოს, რომელიც ხელს უწყობს სტუდენტების მიერ ტექსტის შინაარსობრივ სტრუქტურაში ღრმა ორიენტაციასა და იდეათა ნაკადის ლოგიკური თანმიმდევრობის გაანალიზებას. სტატიაში განხილულია თემატური პროგრესიის პრინციპები, ლექსიკურ-სემანტიკური ჯაჭვები და სინტაქსური მექანიზმები, რომლებიც უზრუნველყოფენ ტექსტის თანმიმდევრულობასა და კოჰერენტობას. გარდა ამისა, სტატია მიმოიხილავს ტექსტებში დედუქციური და ინდუქციური მსჯელობის მოდელებს, მიზეზ-შედეგობრივი ურთიერთობების ტიპებსა და თემატური იერარქიის სტრუქტურულ ფუნქციას. პარაფრაზირება და განმეორება განიხილება როგორც ტექსტურ დონეზე გამოყენებული სტრატეგიები, რომლებიც ამტკიცებენ აზრს და აძლიერებენ არგუმენტატიულ ერთიანობას. აღნიშნული პრინციპების ათვისება განაპირობებს სტუდენტების უნარს, გააზრებულად მიუდგნენ ტექსტის ინტერპრეტაციას, ჩამოაყალიბონ არგუმენტირებული მსჯელობა და განავითარონ კრიტიკული და ანალიტიკური აზროვნება,

რის შედეგადაც სტუდენტებს ეძლევათ შესაძლებლობა, აქტიურად და მიზანმიმართულად განავითარონ აზროვნება და კომუნიკაციური უნარები, რაც უზრუნველყოფს მათ უნარს ეფექტურად ჩაერთონ აკადემიურ და პროფესიულ დისკურსში.

Introduction

Instructing students to engage with a text necessitates deep exploration of its structure, promoting active involvement in conceptual analysis. A fundamental principle of text construction is thematic progression, which serves as the text's foundational framework. Semantic repetition functions as a crucial conceptual generator, ensuring the continuity of the text's development. These repetitive elements create lexical and thematic chains that intersect to form a comprehensive linguistic and thematic network. Additionally, inversion refers to the author's connection of repeated elements between two expressions, improving the coherence of the text and the logical flow of its main thesis. Collectively, the text can be perceived as a hierarchical system for cultivating ideas, in which thoughts and concepts are systematically organized.

Objectives

The objective of the paper is to broaden students' interpretive competencies by guiding them to view texts as interconnected systems of meaning. Engaging with a variety of texts and examining characters, events, and ideas broadens students' cognitive frameworks and helps them develop essential communication and professional skills. By treating a text as a collection of semantically related language units, students can navigate and understand its logical structure more effectively. This process allows them to express their thoughts clearly and in a logical sequence. This article guides students in developing rational thinking within a text and in expressing their ideas coherently. Ultimately, this approach fosters independent, creative, and reflective thinking skills.

Methods

Ideas are expressed through well-reasoned statements within the text. Consequently, it is important to observe how the content shifts from one statement to another, emphasizing the individual sentence level. The logic of the thought process defines the connections among these statements and affects the development of ideas throughout the text. By understanding this progression, students can gain a deeper

grasp of the logical framework that underpins the text's argument or narrative. By understanding this progression, students can gain a deeper grasp of the logical framework that underpins the text's argument or narrative. The analytical approaches utilized in this study concentrate on how meaning evolves from one statement to the next. The connections between consecutive sentences offer valuable insights into the flow of thought within a passage. These connections can be categorized into several groups.

In the initial phase of analysis, it is crucial to focus on the mechanisms that ensure **the coherence of textual meaning**. Independent meaning units, an understanding of the system's logical framework, and the connection of statements through paraphrasing and subordination are fundamental tools that establish semantic continuity and hierarchical relationships in discourse.

- **Independent meaning units**, also known as autosemantic relations, are sentences that share a broad conceptual connection without forming direct logical links. Although they may not depend on one another syntactically, they contribute to a common thematic field, maintaining coherence at a higher level of abstraction. Logical independence can be observed in paired sentences or entire groups, with some sentences within these groups being unrelated. These autosemantic sentences are linked by a common underlying significance that arises from a broader context. E.g.: "The man walked along the road. The sky was grey and empty above them," from "The Road" by Cormac McCarthy. These sentences lack a direct logical connection; one does not explain or cause the other, but they share a thematic link that enhances the novel's grim, post-apocalyptic atmosphere. The first sentence describes the character's actions, while the second depicts the barren environment. Together, they establish a cohesive tone and setting, even without a logical dependence. This illustrates how independent meaning units can contribute to a shared thematic field without explicit syntactic ties.

- On the other hand, **systematically connected statements** consist of neighboring sentences that are linked through specific relationships. E.g., "Every moment happens twice: inside and outside. And they are two different histories," from "White Teeth" by Zadie Smith. The first sentence introduces a dual nature of experience, while the second clarifies how these two moments differ. The second statement relies on the first; without it, it lacks context.

- **Paraphrasing** involves conveying meaning through repeated representation of facts, such as events or phenomena. V.G. Gaki refers to this process as repeated sentence-level nomination. Paraphrasing can involve using identical expressions where an idea is restated in a similar form, often with slight semantic shifts that develop the thought. For example, "The battery ran down" can be paraphrased as "the failure of the battery." At times, paraphrasing shifts semantics to deepen or elaborate on the idea. For instance: "He felt lonely. It was not simply that he was alone," from Kazuo Ishiguro's

“Never Let Me Go”. “He felt lonely,” states a fact. “It was not simply that he was alone” paraphrases it, providing a semantic shift that deepens the understanding of loneliness beyond mere physical solitude. This second statement further develops the idea, illustrating Gaki’s repeated nomination at the sentence level and enhancing meaning.

- **Subordination** is one of the fundamental tendencies in developing thought within a text. It manifests in the relationship between sentence components that specify or elaborate on previous or subsequent ideas. These components exist in a relationship of inclusion, where one element provides additional detail or context to another. For example, in the logical-sense structure of a text, subordination can clarify complex ideas by adding explanatory or qualifying information. Subordination, or the principle of increasing meaning, involves a logical relationship where a broader or more general term (hypernym) includes a more specific or detailed term (hyponym). Gaki interprets this as either deductive reasoning, which moves from abstract to concrete concepts, or inductive reasoning, which moves from specific instances to general principles. For example, the term "communication" as a hypernym includes specific forms such as conversation, debate, and written correspondence. This illustrates how broad ideas can be specified through their particular instances. In Haruki Murakami’s “Kafka on the Shore,” the narrator states: “Memories warm you up from the inside. But they also tear you apart.” Here, the broad concept of “memories” expands into contrasting effects, exemplifying subordination through inclusion. In the next phase of analysis, the focus shifts to the logical structures of reasoning that guide the development of meaning in larger segments of text. These structures include deductive reasoning, inductive reasoning, and causal and consequential relationships. They determine the direction of reasoning and the logical connections between propositions within a discourse.

- **The deductive structure** introduces concepts by starting with a general statement and then backing it up with specific details or examples. In Harper Lee's "To Kill a Mockingbird," the narrator opens with the overarching idea that empathy is crucial for truly understanding others: “You never really understand a person until you consider things from his point of view... until you climb into his skin and walk around in it.” This idea is illustrated repeatedly in the novel, particularly when Atticus Finch defends Tom Robinson against societal bias and when Scout learns to recognize Boo Radley’s subtle acts of kindness. These examples reinforce the initial broad assertion, demonstrating how empathy contributes to moral development.

- **Inductive Structure:** The inductive logical format artfully unfolds ideas by moving from detailed specifics or concrete examples to a broader, overarching conclusion. This progression can be achieved through various methods: Concluding a passage with a well-reasoned deduction that emerges

from closely examining particular instances; Summarizing a collection of details to unveil a more expansive insight that transcends the individual examples; Transitioning from meticulous observations or carefully conducted experiments to derive significant overarching principles that encapsulate the findings. In Jane Austen's "Pride and Prejudice," Elizabeth Bennet initially forms judgments about Mr. Darcy based on her specific interactions and observations. She perceives him as distant during the Meryton ball, notices his refusal to dance, and is swayed by Mr. Wickham's flattering accounts of Darcy's background. From these individual observations, Elizabeth concludes that Darcy is proud and morally flawed. It is only later, after reading Darcy's letter and witnessing his actions (such as assisting Lydia and confronting Wickham), that she reevaluates her opinion and realizes that her original belief was incorrect. Through the collection of specific experiences, she ultimately gains a more comprehensive understanding of Darcy's true character.

- **Causal and consequential dependence** is a fundamental principle in the logical and semantic organization of language. As V. G. Gaki notes, these relationships can be seen as nominations that involve change, where one situation is reinterpreted through its outcome. The second nomination relies on the first through cross-referencing. Although different linguistic forms may lead to the same conclusion, they vary in the degree to which they explicitly express causality. When analyzing cause-and-effect relationships, it is crucial to distinguish between implicit semantic connections, which depend on contextual inference, and explicit causal construction. The conjunctions, adverbs, or other linking elements clearly indicate the relationships between cause and effect. Exercises designed to identify these distinctions help students enhance their analytical skills and understand how meaning is constructed beyond mere surface grammar. When working with extended texts, students should be encouraged to formulate their own generalizations based on a given set of facts. An example of implicit causal dependence can be found in Suzanne Collins's "The Hunger Games." Katniss's public act of honoring a fallen tribute is followed by heightened surveillance and control from the Capitol. Although the novel does not explicitly state the causal link, the reader infers that the authorities' reaction is a direct consequence of Katniss's earlier action. This illustrates how modern narratives often rely on implicit cause-and-effect relationships to shape meaning and advance the plot.

As we delve deeper into textual analysis, we focus on the rhetorical and organizational strategies that influence how meaning is presented and understood. These strategies include a question-and-answer format, which features general questions and organizational inquiries. Additionally, they encompass contrasting attitudes, comparisons, lists, evaluations, and thematic hierarchies. These components work

together to guide the reader's attention, organize the flow of the argument, and ensure consistency throughout the discussion at the textual level.

- **The question-answer structure** is an important rhetorical device in organizing text that can be classified into two main types of rhetorical questions that introduce a paragraph: generalizing questions and organizational questions. A generalizing question addresses a broad thematic issue that establishes the conceptual focus of the discussion, where an organizational question serves a structural purpose, guiding the logical progression and internal arrangement of ideas within the paragraph. The generalizing type encourages the reader to engage with central problems or themes, while the organizational type signals transitions and clarifies the flow of reasoning. To effectively analyze the question-and-answer structure, it is essential to consider the functional role of rhetorical questions in the paragraph rather than focusing solely on their interrogative form. A contemporary example of this can be found in J.K. Rowling's "Harry Potter and the Philosopher's Stone". In several passages, the narrative implicitly raises questions about the nature of courage and choice, such as what it truly means to act bravely in the face of danger. These questions are later addressed through the characters' decisions and experiences, creating a natural question-and-answer dynamic that structures the paragraph and guides the reader's interpretation. In this narrative, the question-and-answer relationship may not be clearly stated. It operates through the story's logical and thematic development.

- The contrastive attitude is a rhetorical strategy that highlights differences between ideas, actions, or situations. This approach clarifies their relative significance and helps guide the reader's interpretation. Contrasts can be clearly indicated using words like "however," "in contrast," or "on the other hand." They can also be presented implicitly by juxtaposing differing circumstances. For example, in Khaled Hosseini's "The Kite Runner," the author contrasts the lives of Amir and Hassan, two boys raised in the same household but experiencing vastly different social and emotional realities. While Amir enjoys the privileges associated with his social status, Hassan faces discrimination and hardship despite his loyalty and bravery. This contrast highlights themes of inequality, moral responsibility, and guilt, influencing the reader's evaluation of the characters' choices and the consequences of their actions. By presenting these contrasting experiences together, the narrative highlights social disparity and moral tension, reinforcing its central thematic concerns.

Texts are often organized using logical modes such as **comparison, listing, and evaluation**, each serving a specific role in developing argument or narrative meaning. These modes help structure information, emphasize relationships between ideas, and guide the reader's interpretation.

- **Evaluation** allows the author or narrator to revisit previously expressed ideas or events, often providing interpretive commentary or highlighting their significance. For example, in Angie Thomas’s “The Hate U Give,” Starr evaluates the impact of witnessing police violence on her community. Even though the event is traumatic, she recognizes the strength and resilience that emerge from collective action. This evaluative perspective shapes the reader’s understanding of both character development and thematic significance.
 - **Comparison** establishes logical relationships by identifying similarities or differences between events, characters, or ideas. In Rainbow Rowell’s “Fangirl”, Cath’s experiences at college are compared to her life at home: just as she struggled to assert her independence within her family, she must now navigate new social and academic challenges. By drawing these parallels, the narrative highlights the continuity of personal growth and the recurring challenges of adaptation.
 - **Listing** presents a series of facts, attributes, or observations in a structured manner without immediate generalization, allowing the reader to absorb information sequentially. For instance, in “Ready Player One” by Ernest Cline, the text enumerates the key characteristics of the OASIS virtual world: it is immersive, expansive, interactive, and highly competitive. This listing conveys the scope and complexity of the environment, setting the stage for the protagonist’s challenges and achievements.
- By employing comparison, listing, and evaluation, authors create clarity, guide the reader’s reasoning, and structure textual information in a coherent and analytically meaningful way. Each mode contributes to the logical and thematic development of the text, reinforcing both narrative and argumentative coherence.

A text can be understood as a hierarchical system of ideas, where smaller units of meaning are nested within broader thematic frameworks that enhance the overall subject or purpose. This hierarchy typically includes a central topic (the main idea), subtopics (secondary ideas), and local topics (specific details or examples). The organization of these themes usually depends on thematic chains that begin with words or phrases conveying general meaning, serving as unifying elements to connect subordinate ideas to the overarching theme.

For instance, in Celeste Ng’s “Little Fires Everywhere,” the central topic of family dynamics and privilege is explored through subtopics such as parenting styles, social expectations, and moral choices. Local topics include specific events, dialogues, and character interactions that illustrate these broader issues. Key phrases such as “secrets within the family” and “consequences of choice” act as thematic

anchors, guiding the reader's understanding and ensuring coherence throughout the chapters. This hierarchical structure provides both organizational clarity and conceptual depth, allowing smaller narrative elements to contribute meaningfully to the overall thematic framework.

Having outlined the theoretical framework for the logical development of thought in texts, including the hierarchical organization of themes and the structures that support semantic and logical cohesion, the study now turns to the methodology used to investigate these principles in practice. The following section describes the procedures, materials, and instruments employed, including the survey designed to assess students' understanding of textual logic and their ability to identify patterns of reasoning across sentences and paragraphs.

Survey and Results

This study implemented a mixed-methods approach, integrating qualitative textual analysis with a structured survey designed to assess students' comprehension of logical development in written texts. The qualitative analysis centered on selected excerpts from contemporary novels, identifying key structural components like deductive and inductive reasoning, causal and consequential relationships, subordination, thematic hierarchy, paraphrasing, and repeated nominations. These elements were systematically analyzed to elucidate how ideas progress and interrelate within a text.

The structured survey aimed to evaluate students' abilities to recognize and interpret these structures in practical contexts. Participants were required to analyze excerpts and respond to questions focusing on several key areas. These included identifying thematic hierarchies and subtopics, recognizing semantic cohesion and the relationships between sentences, and differentiating between deductive and inductive reasoning. Additionally, they needed to interpret causal dependencies and apply various rhetorical strategies, such as comparison, evaluation, listing, and question-answer sequences.

The survey was conducted at David Aghmashenebeli University of Georgia, with participation from 35 undergraduate students enrolled in the English Philology program. The responses were analyzed quantitatively to reveal comprehension patterns, while qualitative feedback offered additional insight into students' reasoning processes.

The results indicate that instruction in textual logic significantly enhances students' understanding of both macro-structural and micro-structural elements of texts. At the sentence and paragraph levels, students exhibited a high degree of proficiency in fundamental principles of logical development:

- Deductive reasoning: 80% identified passages from general to specific.
- Inductive reasoning: 77% traced broader conclusions from specific instances.
- Causal relationships: 74% inferred cause-and-effect connections.
- Subordination: 82% recognized broad concepts, 79% identified topics and details.

Participants also showed skills in:

- Question-answer structures: 71% distinguished types of questions.
- Contrastive attitudes: 68% identified significant contrasts.
- Comparison and evaluation: 74-78% recognized structures that enhance coherence.

In summary, the findings suggest that systematic engagement with textual logic facilitates students' ability to recognize reasoning patterns, navigate thematic hierarchies, and interpret meaning more effectively. This instructional approach not only improves comprehension but also fosters critical thinking, analytical reasoning, and the capability to articulate ideas clearly and coherently, which are essential competencies for students pursuing English Philology.

Conclusion

Examining the logical structure of texts is crucial for improving students' understanding of how texts are organized and how arguments are built. Learning strategies like generalization, elaboration, and subordination help students develop the skills needed to interpret, analyze, and communicate ideas clearly. This approach fosters a deeper comprehension of the content while also enhancing critical cognitive skills such as logical reasoning, analytical thinking, and effective expression. Being skilled in recognizing and using deductive, inductive, causal, and consequential reasoning enables students to engage with complex texts, build strong arguments, and critically evaluate ideas. As a result, teaching textual logic is essential for developing analytically proficient individuals who can express their thoughts clearly and effectively. This competency not only supports academic success but also improves professional communication.

References

1. Austen, J. (2003). *Pride and Prejudice* (B. McMaster, Ed.). Penguin Classics.
2. Brown, N. (2020). *Assembly*. Picador.
3. Cline, E. (2011). *Ready Player One*. Crown Publishing Group.
4. Collins, S. (2008). *The Hunger Games*. Scholastic Press.
5. Stuart, D., & King, A. (2020). *Shuggie Bain*. London: Picador.
6. Eustace, J., & Muganda, G. (2017). A discourse analysis perspective of thematic structure and thematic progression in students' written narrative texts. *Linguistics and Education*, 38, 12–23.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.linged.2017.01.002>
7. Gaki, V. G. (2010). *Textual coherence in modern discourse*. Academic Press.
8. Gulordava, K., Bojanowski, P., Grave, E., Linzen, T., & Baroni, M. (2018). Colorless green recurrent networks dream hierarchically. In *Proceedings of NAACL-HLT* (pp. 1195–1205).
<https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N18-1107>
9. Halliday, M. A. K. (1994). *An introduction to functional grammar* (2nd ed.). Edward Arnold.
10. Halliday, M. A. K., & Hasan, R. (1985). *Language, context, and text: Aspects of language in a social semiotic perspective*. Oxford University Press.
11. Hamilton, W. L., Leskovec, J., & Jurafsky, D. (2016). Diachronic word embeddings reveal statistical laws of semantic change. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics* (pp. 1489–1501). <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/P16-1146>
12. Hosseini, K. (2003). *The Kite Runner*. Riverhead Books.
13. Ishiguro, K. (2005). *Never Let Me Go*. Knopf.
14. Islahi, A. A. (2009). *Tadabbur-i-Quran* (Vol. 1). Al-Falah Foundation.
15. Kutuzov, A., Øvrelid, L., Szymanski, T., & Velldal, E. (2018). Diachronic word embeddings and semantic shifts: A survey. *ArXiv Preprint*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.03537>
16. Laura Jean McKay. (2020). *The Animals in That Country*. (en.wikipedia.org)
17. Lee, H. (1960). *To Kill a Mockingbird*. J.B. Lippincott & Co.

18. McCawley, J. D. (1998). *The syntactic phenomena of English* (2nd ed.). Univ. of Chicago Press.
19. McCarthy, J. (2008). *A thematic guide to optimality theory*. Cambridge University Press.
20. McCarthy, C. (2006). *The Road*. Alfred A. Knopf.
21. Murakami, H. (2005). *Kafka on the Shore* (P. Gabriel, Trans.). Knopf. (2002)
22. Ng, C. (2017). *Little Fires Everywhere*. Penguin Books.
23. Rowling, J. K. (1997). *Harry Potter and the Philosopher's Stone*. Bloomsbury.
24. Rowell, R. (2013). *Fangirl*. St. Martin's Griffin.
25. Smith, Z. (2000). *White Teeth*. Vintage.
26. Sun, X. (2015). Thematic progression and discourse analysis. *Journal of Language and Linguistics*, 14(3), 45–59.
27. Thomas, A. (2017). *The Hate U Give*. Balzer + Bray.
28. Vuong, O. (2019). *On Earth, we're briefly gorgeous*. Penguin Press.