

MARIAM GOGOTISHVILI, MZIA BAKRADZE, TINATIN GORGILADZE,  
FRIDON JAPARIDZE, NATO ZOSIDZE

# EVALUATION OF THE PERIODONTOLOGICAL STATUS OF EMPLOYEES OF BATUMI SHOTA RUSTAVELI STATE UNIVERSITY

Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Dental Clinic Smile-Dent, Batumi, Georgia

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.52340/jecm.2025.05.08>

*მარიამ გოგოტიშვილი, მზია ბაქრაძე, თინათინ გორგილაძე, ფრიდონ ჯაფარიძე, ნატო ზოსიძე*  
**ბათუმის შოთა რუსთაველის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტის თანამშრომლების  
პაროდონტის მდგომარეობის შეფასება**

ბათუმის შოთა რუსთაველის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტი; სტომატოლოგიური კლინიკა სმაილ-დენტი, ბათუმი, საქართველო

## რეზიუმე

**შესავალი და მიზანი:** კვლევის მიზანი იყო პაროდონტის დაავადებების სიმძიმის შეფასება პაციენტებში, რომლებიც ბათუმის შოთა რუსთაველის სახელობის სახელმწიფო უნივერსიტეტის თანამშრომლები არიან.

**მეთოდები:** კვლევაში ჩატარდა პაროდონტის დაავადებების შეფასება მწვევლებსა და არამწვევლებში. კვლევაში მონაწილეობდა 400 ადამიანი: 268 არამწვეველი (NS) და 132 აქტიური მწვეველი (S). ღრძილების და პაროდონტის დაავადებების კლასიფიკაცია დაფუძნებული იყო EFP-სა და AAP-ის კრიტერიუმებზე, რომელშიც მონაწილეობდნენ პაციენტები გინგივითის და პერიოდონტიტის II, III და IV სტადიის დიაგნოზით. ასევე ჩატარდა მულტივარიანტული სტატისტიკური ანალიზი სხვადასხვა რისკ-ფაქტორების ზეგავლენის ხარისხის დასადგენად. ჩვენს მიერ გამოყენებულ იქნა ეპიდემიოლოგიური პროგრამები Epidat და Epi Info (OMS), და (SPSS).

**შედეგები:** ქალების 29% და მამაკაცების 40% მწვეველი იყვნენ. S და NS ჯგუფებში პერიოდონტიტის შემთხვევათა ცვლადები შემდეგნაირად გამოიყურებოდა: PD NS-სთვის შეადგინა 4.19 ( $\pm 0.67$ ), S-სთვის 5.37 ( $\pm 0.64$ ); AL NS-სთვის 3.43 ( $\pm 1.28$ ), S-სთვის 4.30 ( $\pm 1.43$ ); BOP NS-სთვის 41 ( $\pm 23.76$ ), S-სთვის 43.28 ( $\pm 23.56$ ); OHI NS-სთვის 1.75 ( $\pm 0.61$ ), S-სთვის 1.82 ( $\pm 0.53$ ); TP NS-სთვის 21.38 ( $\pm 6.13$ ), S-სთვის 21.20 ( $\pm 6.60$ ); და M NS-სთვის 1.65 ( $\pm 0.74$ ), S-სთვის 2.10 ( $\pm 0.65$ ).

**დასკვნები:** პერიოდონტიტის II და III სტადიები ორივე ჯგუფში მსგავსი იყო, მაგრამ როდესაც პერიოდონტიტის IV სტადია გავანალიზეთ, აღმოჩნდა, რომ ის უფრო გავრცელებული იყო მწვევლებში, მათი ასაკის მიუხედავად. ხოლო, როდესაც ჩავატარეთ პოპულაციის სტრატეფიკაცია ინდივიდების ასაკის მიხედვით, გამოვლინდა, რომ პერიოდონტიტის IV სტადია უფრო გავრცელებული იყო არამწვეველ ხანდაზმულ ადამიანებში და 40 წლამდე ასაკის მწვეველ ახალგაზრდებში. PD, CAL და M პერიოდონტალური ცვლადებით გამოვლინდა, რომ პაციენტებს S ჯგუფში ამ დაავადების გაცილებით მძიმე მაჩვენებლები ჰქონდათ.

**INTRODUCTION.** The habit of smoking is considered as a risk factor for periodontal disease [19]. The clinical evidence shows that a greater bone loss occurs in smokers due to the mineral content of the bone being affected and its quality diminished [1]. The habit of smoking is strongly associated with the severity of the disease, the number of teeth lost, and refractory and recurrent periodontitis [13]. In general, smokers have a lower response to different types of gingival and periodontal therapies, both from the point of view of healing times and in the parameters of final wound healing [16]. Smokers' immune system is depleted in terms of response effectiveness, and a plausible explanation is based on the fact that smokers present vascular constriction in their gingiva. This would lead to a minimal presence of cellular defense elements at the critical site of the infection, together with a decrease in the titer and avidity of the antibodies [8]. The objective of the present study was to determine the severity of the periodontal pathology in a group of patients attending the periodontics service. The objective of the present study was to determine the severity of the periodontal pathology in a group of patients attending the periodontics service of the Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University and to assess the relationship with other variables that may increase or decrease the severity of periodontal lesions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS.** This epidemiological cross-sectional study of a group of patients who attended the periodontics service of the Faculty of Dentistry of the Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University covered a three-month period for collecting information obtained from oral clinical examination. Four hundred patients with periodontal disease not treated were observed. The following variables were taken as an inclusion criterion: the absence of systemic diseases that may be of risk for periodontal diseases, the absence of any type of periodontal gingival therapy in the last year and the patient who had consumed antibiotics of any kind in the last six months. The following were used as exclusion criteria: the presence of removable partial dentures, the use of orthodontic therapies in the last two years, and the regular intake of any type of medication that can modify the immunological and morphological parameters of the gingiva. Passive smoking patients who had regular contact with smoke were excluded from the study. The variables studied were: probing depth (PD), attachment level (AL), number of teeth present (TP), oral hygiene index (OHI), tooth mobility (M) and bleeding on probing (BOP). All the variables were performed on mesial and distal sites of the teeth with the exception of the third molars, and the supernumerary teeth were excluded from the examination. To examine the PD, AL and BOP, the measuring instrument used was the Marquis probe, graduated at 3, 6, 9 and 12 mm, with a tip of 0.5 mm in diameter. The records were taken with two measurements in the mesial and distal faces close to the union with the vestibular and lingual or palatal faces of all the teeth studied. The gingival and periodontal pathologies were classified according to the criteria of the American Association of Periodontology in regard to the severity of the nosological entity in stage II, III and IV of periodontitis and by the extent of the destruction with localized parameters (when it affects up to 30% of sites or dental faces) and generalized (when the level of involvement is more than 30% of the sites). For the BOP, we follow the criteria of Van der Velden (Van der Velden U 1979), with which we determine the faces of positive ones such as those that bled when the probe was removed or within 30 seconds after being removed. To examine the M, we follow the criteria of Miller (mobility index) a cotton clamp was used and categorized into four ranks: grade 0 without mobility, grade 1 vestibular – lingual or palatal mobility, grade 2 adds mobility towards mesial and distal, and grade 3 adds intrusion. The oral hygiene examination was determined by visual inspection through a modified Greene and Vermillion index (1964) [10]. Half of the vestibular and lingual faces were not observed so as to avoid incorporating measurement biases into the study due to the presence of gingival recessions that could have been due to traumatic causes and not to infectious causes compatible with the nature of initiation and progression of periodontal diseases. Smokers were defined as those who smoked cigarettes with pulmonary aspiration of smoke and consumption of blonde cigarettes. Two different groups – non-smokers and smokers – were formed. Subsequently, the number of cigarettes consumed daily and the accumulated years of the habit were assessed. The measurements were made by a single calibrated examiner and with the methodology blind regarding knowledge about the presence of smoking. All individuals were informed about their participation in the epidemiological study and asked to sign consent from.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.** The individuals were taken as the unit of analysis. To assess the proportion of smokers within the population, a continuous Goodman confidence interval was used. For the relationship between the periodontal variables and the independent variable measured (smoking), a Kruskal–Wallis test was used. A logistic regression analysis was implemented to categorize the different risk predictors and their influence on insertion loss as a gold parameter for measuring the final loss of periodontal support and to categorize the resulting disease. The predictors studied were: age as an acquired risk factor and unfolded in categories (up to 30 years, from 30 to 40 years, from 40 to 50 years and more than 50 years of age), gender as an innate risk factor, the presence of smoking in three categories (not

present, up to 10 cigarettes per day and more than 10 cigarettes per day) and the accumulated time since the habit began (observed as up to 10 years and more than 10 years). The response variable was also categorized to facilitate the epidemiological analysis in four groups: clinical insertion loss d" 4mm (ICP d" 4mm), clinical insertion loss e" 5mm (ICP e" 5mm), clinical insertion loss in up to 30% of the sites (ICP < 30%), and clinical insertion loss in more than 30% of the sites (ICP > 30%). All the variables were collected in all the sites (dental faces measured). In the multivariate model, each predictor was expressed with its influence on the response variable accompanied by its OR and a confidence interval of 95%. Epidat and Epi Info epidemiological programs (OMS) were used for data management, and SPSS® was used for the calculation of the tests and modelling. The probability of type I error was set equal to 0.05 to obtain statistical significance.

**RESULTS.** The sample population consisted of 400 individuals categorized according to gender, with 250 women with an average age of 44.22 (95% CI 41.27–47.17) and 150 men with an average age of 40.36 (95% CI 34.86–42.60). In reference to those smoking cigarettes, 268 did not smoke (NS) and 132 did (S). Regarding the age of the participants, there were no significant differences between the groups, with an average age of 42.99 (95% CI 38.27–43.69) and an age range between 16 and 73 years for the NS group, and an average age of 40.85 (95% CI 35.46–42.70) and an age range between 15 and 69 years for the S group (Table 1). Twenty-nine per cent of the women and 40% of the men were smokers. The distribution of the absolute numbers is shown in Table 1.

**Table 1: Distribution of patients (non-smokers and smokers) according to gender**

		Non-smokers	Smokers
Women age:44.22	N	178	72
(95CI 41.27-47.17)	%	71%	29%
Men age: 40.36	N	90	60
(95CI 34.86-42.60)	%	60%	40%
Total		268	132

Note: Age of Non-smokers 42.99 (95CI 38,27-43.69) range from 16 to 73 years, smokers - 40,85 (95CI 35,46-42,70) range from 15 to 69 years.

When we determined the number of cigarettes consumed daily, the average was 13.64 cigarettes (95% CI 10.67–16.60) with a range of consumption of 5 to 50 cigarettes per day. When we observed the years since the smoking habit began, the average was 18.41 years (95% CI 9.65–22.17) with a range of 3 to 50 years. Periodontal variables in the groups of S and NS behaved in the following way: PD for NS 4.19 ( $\pm 0.67$ ), and for S 5.37 (0.64), with a p value of 0.005; AL for NS 3.43 (1.28), and for S 4.30 (1.43), with a p value of 0.039; BOP for NS 41 (23.76), and for S 43.28 (23.56), with a p value of 0.545; OHI for NS 1.75 (0.61), and for S 1.82 (0.53), with a p value of 0.463; TP for NS 21.38 (6.13), and for S 21.20 (6.60), with a p value of 0.80; and M for NS 1.65 (0.74), and for S 2.10 (0.65), with a p value of 0.021. The values of PD, AL and M were statistically significant, with an aggravation in the S group (Table 2).

**Table 2: Evaluation of the behavior of the variables in the different groups**

	Non-smokers	Smokers	P value
N	268	132	
Probing depth (n $\pm$ S D)	4.19 ( $\pm 0.67$ )	5.37 ( $\pm 0.64$ )	0.005*
Attachment level (n $\pm$ S D)	3.43 ( $\pm 1.28$ )	4.30 ( $\pm 1.43$ )	0.039*
Bleeding on probing (n $\pm$ S D)	41 ( $\pm 23.76$ )	43.28 ( $\pm 23.56$ )	0.545
Oral hygiene index (n $\pm$ S D)	1.75 ( $\pm 0.61$ )	1.82 ( $\pm 0.53$ )	0.463
Teeth present (n $\pm$ S D)	21.38 ( $\pm 6.13$ )	21.20 ( $\pm 6.60$ )	0.80
Mobility (n $\pm$ S D)	1.65 ( $\pm 0.74$ )	2.10 ( $\pm 0.65$ )	0.021*

Note: \* Mann-Whitney test. Significant difference at the level of significance =  $p < 0.05$ . \* Significant data.

Within the cross-sectional design, the prevalence of the different nosological entities was obtained in relation to the presence or absence of the habit, which were expressed in absolute frequencies. Individuals in the NS group had 13% gingivitis associated with plaque, 59.4% stage III of periodontitis, and 27.5% severe periodontitis. Individuals in group S were distributed as 8.3% with plaque-associated gingivitis, 51.7% with stage II and III of periodontitis, and 40% with stage IV of periodontitis (**Table 3**).

**Table 3: Relationship between periodontal diagnosis and smoking**

		Non-smokers	Smokers
		N (%)	N (%)
Periodontal Diagnosis	Gingivitis	35 (13.0 %)	11 (8.3%)
	Stage II and III Periodontitis	160 (59.4%)	68 (51.7%)
	Stage IV Periodontitis	73 (27.5 %)	53 (40.0%)

When we separated the populations of NS and S according to age (younger or older than 40 years of age), we observed the following: in the NS group, there was a higher prevalence of plaque-associated gingivitis, in younger individuals, a slightly higher prevalence of stage II and III of periodontitis in those under 40 and a greater prevalence of stage IV of periodontitis in older individuals (**Table 4**).

**Table 4: Relationship between age and diagnosis in the group of non-smokers**

	Under 40 years of age		Over 40 years		Total	
	Smokers	Non smokers	Smokers	Non smokers	Smokers	Non smokers
Gingivitis	11 (17.2%)	33 (26.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.4%)	11 (8.3%)	35 (13.0%)
Stage II and III periodontitis	37 (58.6%)	85 (67.7%)	31 (45.2%)	75 (52.1%)	68 (51.7%)	160 (59.4%)
Stage IV periodontitis	16 (24.1%)	8 (6.2%)	37 (54.8%)	65 (46.6%)	53 (40.0%)	73 (27.5%)
Total	64 (48.0%)	126 (47.0%)	68 (52.0%)	142 (53.0%)	132(100.0%)	268 (100.0%)

For the S group, percentages remained similar except for individuals younger than 40 years, who showed a significant increase in the prevalence of severe periodontitis (**Table 4**). The logistic regression analysis was carried out through a study of risk predictors, including age (up to 30 years, from 30 to 40 years, from 40 to 50 years and more than 50 years), gender, the presence of smoking (not present, up to 10 cigarettes per day and more than 10 cigarettes per day) and the duration of the habit (up to 10 years and more than 10 years), and crossing them with response variables, “CAL d” 4mm, “CAL e” 5mm, localized CAL < 30% and generalized CAL > 30%). The results of the variables were accompanied by their odds ratio and its corresponding 95% confidence interval (**Table 5**).

As the ages increases the smoking patients is 2 or 3 more likely to develop periodontal disease in both genders. Patients who smoke more than 10 cigarettes per day have 4 or 5 more chances of developing periodontal disease, this possibility became worse as time passes.

**Table 5: Predictors and their relation with age, gender and smoking habits**

PREDICTOR	“CAL d” 4 mm OR CI 95%	“CAL e” 5 mm OR CI 95%	CAL < 30% OR CI 95%	CAL > 30% OR CI 95%
AGE				
Up to 30 years	0.99 (0.85–1.20)	0.82 (0.75–1.01)	1.58 (1.06–2.35)	1.25 (0.99–1.89)
From 30 to 40 years	0.97 (0.81–1.19)	0.89 (0.65–1.09)	1.75 (1.02–2.45)	1.45 (1.03–2.03)
40 to 50 years	1.18 (0.95–1.45)	1.21 (1.01–2.34)	2.64 (1.94–3.39)	3.01 (2.45–3.89)
More than 50 years	1.99 (1.20–2.99)	2.28 (1.79–3.21)	3.89 (2.45–4.38)	3.45 (2.68–3.99)



GENDER				
FEMALE	0.93 (0.81–1.03)	0.87 (0.78–1.03)	0.89 (0.79–1.04)	0.93 (0.80–1.19)
MALE	0.95 (0.78–1.04)	0.85 (0.74–1.04)	1.07 (0.88–1.45)	1.05 (0.85–1.42)
SMOKING HABITS				
NOT PRESENT UO TO 10 CIGARETTES PER DAY	0.98 (0.75–1.25)	0.88 (0.75–1.02)	0.99 (0.88–1.41)	1.09 (0.87–1.47)
MORE THEN 10 CIGARETTES PER DAY	2.48 (2.40–4.41)	3.71 (2.48–5.30)	2.99 (2.01–3.89)	3.47 (2.98–4.74)
HABIT TIME				
Up to 10 years	3.57 (2.90–4.84)	3.43 (2.78–4.89)	3.48 (2.78–4.35)	4.49 (2.99–5.84)
More than 10 years	4.20 (2.29–5.89)	4.79 (3.41–5.02)	5.45 (3.53–6.81)	6.08 (5.01–7.89)

**DISCUSSION.** When we take an epidemiological and descriptive approach to the population (patients who asset at the Periodontics Service of the Faculty of Dentistry) in terms of the prevalence of periodontal disease, we observe that there was a higher prevalence of gingivitis in the NS group and in younger individuals. Stage II and III of periodontitis had a similar prevalence in both groups, but when we analyzed stage IV of periodontitis, it was more prevalent in smokers, regardless of their age. When we studied the age of individuals (under and over 40 years old) and crossed it with the variable “smoking”, we observed that gingivitis was more prevalent in younger individuals, regardless of habit. Stage II and III of periodontitis was similarly distributed in the S and NS groups. Severe periodontitis was more prevalent in older individuals who did not smoke, but the most valuable finding was the prevalence of severe periodontitis in young individuals under 40 years old who had the habit, which is a warning sign in terms of the destructive ability of tobacco use on oral tissues and at early ages. In reference to the relationship between periodontal variables studied and presence of the habit, (PD), (AL) and (M) were found with more severe values in the S group. Other studies also determined a higher rate of CAL and periodontal tissues in smokers [6]. The OHI and TP variables remained similar in both groups and even when compared with populations previously studied by our research team [22], and in comparison with other published works [5], As periodontal disease has a multi-causal etiological factor, it is not possible to analyze it only from the descriptive epidemiological point of view, and we delve into it more precisely by means of modelling carried out with a multivariate analysis through the logistic regression of the different risk predictors that may influence the severity and extent of CAL.

This analysis was useful for a more specific discrimination of risk indicators that may have greater or lesser weight on the variables studied and to establish precedents in the performance of subsequent analytical epidemiological studies. The multivariate analysis was accompanied by its odds ratio and its 95% confidence interval. Analyzing the results obtained, we can deduce that the positive influence of age on slight CAL (equal to or less than 4mm) was similar to that on the severe CAL (equal to or greater than 5 mm). It also had similar influence on the extent of localized CAL (in less than 30% of the sites) and on the generalized CAL (more than 30% of the sites). This indicates that older individuals have a higher probabilities of losing periodontal tissue regardless of whether they are smokers.6 Gender was not a determiner of risk for the CAL in this study, which is unlike other studies that suggested the male gender as having a higher risk of CAL [25], The presence of smoking definitely enhanced the extent and severity of periodontal disease, showing that individuals who smoked more than 10 cigarettes per day had the highest probabilities of the risk estimators, the chances increased almost four times for localized periodontitis, and almost five times for generalized periodontitis; thus determining the dose-dependent

nature of smoking with the periodontal pathology previously exposed in the literature [9]. Finally, the number of years for which the habit persists remained a strong predictor of risk of periodontal tissue loss, demonstrated by high figures of estimators for those who smoked for more than 10 years consecutively. If we perform a thorough analysis of the variables discussed here, we can observe that regardless of age and gender, smoking more than 10 cigarettes per day and for a time period greater than 10 years considerably aggravates both the extent and the severity of the loss of periodontal insertion. The descriptive epidemiological analysis of the sample also determines a higher prevalence of loss of periodontal insertion in young individuals under 40 who smoke. Analytical epidemiological studies are useful to observe the degree of responsibility of these and other risk factors and their relationship with periodontal disease [12].

**CONCLUSIONS.** Stage II and III of periodontitis behaved similarly in both groups, but when we analyzed stage IV of periodontitis, it was more prevalent in smokers, regardless of their age. When the population was stratified according to the age of the individuals, stage IV of periodontitis was more prevalent in older people who did not smoke and in young individuals under 40 who had the habit. PD, CAL and M periodontal variables were found with more severe values in the S group. The extent and the severity of the loss of periodontal insertion have a direct association with the quantity of cigarettes (more than 10 cigarettes per day) and time of smoking (more than 10 year) in spite of age and gender.

#### REFERENCES:

1. Arno A, Schein O, Lovdal A, Waerhaug J. Alveolar bone loss as a function of tobacco. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1959; 17: 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.1959.30.1.7>
2. Ah MKB, Johnson GK, Kaldahl WB, Patil KD, Kalkwarf KL. The effect of smoking on the response to periodontal therapy. *J Clin Periodontol* 1994; 21: 91–97. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-051x.1994.tb00285.x
3. Bergström J, Sören E, Preber H. Cigarette Smoking and Periodontal Bone Loss. *J Periodontol* 1991; 62: 242–246. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1991.62.4.242
4. Bastiaan R, Reade PC. The effect of tobacco smoking on oral and dental tissues. *Aus Dent J* 1976; 21: 308. DOI: 10.1111/j.1834-7819.1976.tb01038.x
5. Bergström J, Preber H. Tobacco use as a risk factor. *J Periodontol* 1994; 65: 545–550. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1994.65.5s.545
6. Bolin A, Lavstedt S, et al. Proximal alveolar bone loss in a longitudinal radiographic investigation. IV. Smoking and some other factors influencing the progress in individuals with at least 20 remaining teeth. *Acta Odontol Scand* 1986; 44: 263–269. DOI: 10.3109/00016358609004732
7. Beck JD, Cusmano L, et al. A 5-year study of attachment loss in community-dwelling older adults: incidence density. *J Periodont Res* 1997; 32: 506–515. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0765.1997.tb00566.x
8. Bergström J, Eliasson S, Preber H. Cigarette smoking and periodontal bone loss. *J Periodontol* 1991; 62: 242–246. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1991.62.4.242
9. Do LG, Slade GD, et al. Smoking-attributable periodontal disease in the Australian adult population. *J Clin Periodontol* 2008; 35: 398–404. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-051X.2008.01223.x
10. Greene JC, Vermillion JR. The simplified oral hygiene index. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1964 Jan; 68: 7–13. DOI: 10.14219/jada.archive.1964.0034
11. Grossi SA, Zambon JJ, Ho AW. Assessment of risk for periodontal disease. 1. Risk indicators for attachment loss. *J Periodontol* 1994; 65: 260–267. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1994.65.3.260
12. Genko RJ, Borgnakke WS. Risk factors for periodontal disease. *Periodontol* 2000.2013. Jun; 62 (1): 59.94. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.2012.00457.x
13. Have J, Wattles J, et al. Evidence for cigarette smoking as a major risk factor for periodontitis. *J Periodontol* 1993 January; 64 (1): 16–23. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1993.64.1.16
14. James J, Sayers N, Drucker D, Hull P. Effects of tobacco products on the attachment and growth of periodontal ligament fibroblast. *J Periodontol* 1999; 70: 518–525 DOI: 10.1902/jop.1999.70.5.518
15. Kerdvongbundit V, Wikesjö UME. Effect of smoking on periodontal health in molar teeth. *J Periodontol* 2000; 71:433–437. DOI: 10.1902/jop.2000.71.3.433

16. Mandel I. Smoke signals: An alert for oral disease. J Am Dent Assoc 1994; 125: 872–877. DOI: 10.14219/jada.archive.1994.0192
17. MacFarlane G, Herzberg M, et al. Refractory periodontitis associated with abnormal polymorphonuclear leukocyte phagocytosis and cigarette smoking. J Periodontol 1992; 63: 908–913. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1992.63.11.908
18. Machtei EE, Dunford R, et al. Longitudinal study of prognostic factors in established periodontitis patients. J Clin Periodontol 1997; 24: 102–109. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-051x.1997.tb00474.x
19. Page R, Offenbacher S, et al. Advances in the pathogenesis of periodontitis. Summary of developments, clinical implications and future directions. Periodontol 2000 1997; 14: 216–246. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.1997.tb00199.x
20. Ratka-Kruger P, Neukrantz E, Raetzke P. Guided tissue regeneration procedure with bioresorbable membranes versus conventional flap surgery in the treatment of intrabony periodontal defect. J Clin Periodontol 2000; 27: 120–127. DOI: 10.1034/j.1600-051x.2000.027002120.x
21. Rees T. Drugs and oral disorders. Periodontology 2000, 1998; 18: 21–36. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.1998.tb00136.x
22. Sheiham A. Periodontal disease and oral cleanliness in tobacco smokers. J Periodontol 1971; 42: 259–263. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1971.42.5.259
23. The tobacco epidemic: A crisis of startling dimensions. www.who.org
24. The American Academy of Periodontology. Annals Vol. 4, International Workshop for a Classification of Periodontal Disease and Conditions. Vol. 4 No.1, 1999. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1902/annals.1999.4.1.1>
25. Van der Velden U. Probing force and the relationship of the probe tip to the periodontal tissues. J Clin Periodontol 1979 Apr; 6 (2): 106–114. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-051x.1979.tb02189.x
26. van der Velden U, Abbas F, Van Steenberghe TJ, et al. Prevalence of periodontal breakdown and presence of *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans* in subjects with attachment loss. J Periodontol 1989; 60: 604–610. DOI: 10.1902/jop.1989.60.11.604

*MARIAM GOGOTISHVILI, MZIA BAKRADZE, TINATIN GORGILADZE,  
FRIDON JAPARIDZE, NATO ZOSIDZE*

### EVALUATION OF THE PERIODONTOLOGICAL STATUS OF EMPLOYEES OF BATUMI SHOTA RUSTAVELI STATE UNIVERSITY

Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Dental Clinic Smile-Dent, Batumi, Georgia

#### SUMMARY

**Introduction:** This study was supported by Dental Clinic SMILE-DENT, BATUMI, GEORGIA. The objective of the present study was to evaluate the severity of periodontal disease in a population of patients with gingival or periodontal disease who works at Batumi Shota Rustaveli State University, Georgia. **Methods:** The sample consisted of 400 individuals: 268 non-smokers (NS) and 132 active smokers (S). The classification of gingival and periodontal diseases was based on the criteria of the EFP and - AAP recruiting patients with diagnoses of gingivitis, stage II, III and IV of periodontitis. A multivariate analysis was also carried out to determine the degree of responsibility of the different risk factors. We used (OMS), (SPSS). **Results:** Twenty-nine per cent of women and forty percent of men were smokers. The periodontal variables in the groups of S and NS behaved in the following way: PD for NS 4.19 ( $\pm 0.67$ ), and for S 5.37 ( $\pm 0.64$ ); AL for NS 3.43 ( $\pm 1.28$ ), and for S 4.30 ( $\pm 1.43$ ); BOP for NS 41 ( $\pm 23.76$ ), and for S 43.28 ( $\pm 23.56$ ); OHI for NS 1.75 ( $\pm 0.61$ ), and for S 1.82 ( $\pm 0.53$ ). **Conclusion:** Stage II and III of periodontitis behaved similarly in both groups, but when we analyzed stage IV of periodontitis, it was more prevalent in smokers, regardless of their age.

**Keywords:** Batumi, Smile-Dent, periodontal disease, Shota Rustaveli, periodontal pathology

